

# Individual Executive Member Decision

---

## Parking Review Amendment 24

---

<b>Committee considering report:</b>	Individual Executive Member Decision
<b>Date ID to be signed:</b>	26 September 2016
<b>Portfolio Member:</b>	Councillor Jeanette Clifford
<b>Forward Plan Ref:</b>	ID3113

---

### 1. Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 To inform the Executive Member for Highways and Transport of the responses received during the statutory consultation on the review and introduction of waiting restrictions within Birch Copse, Pangbourne, Purley-on-Thames, Streatley, Thatcham Central, Thatcham South and Thatcham West Wards and to seek approval of officer recommendations.

### 2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Executive Member for Highways and Transport approves the revisions to the proposed parking scheme and the proposals as set out in Section 9 of this report.

### 3. Implications

- 3.1 **Financial:** The implementation of the physical works would be funded from the approved Capital Programme.
- 3.2 **Policy:** The consultation was in accordance with the Council's Consultation procedure.
- 3.3 **Personnel:** None arising from this report.
- 3.4 **Legal:** The Sealing of the Traffic Regulation Order would be undertaken by Legal Services.
- 3.5 **Risk Management:** None arising from this report.
- 3.6 **Property:** None arising from this report.
- 3.7 **Other:** N/A

### 4. Consultation Responses

#### Members:

- Leader of Council:** Councillor Roger Croft - to date no response has been received, however any comments will be verbally reported at the Individual Decision meeting.

**Overview & Scrutiny  
Management  
Commission Chairman:  
Ward Members:**

Councillor Emma Webster - to date no response has been received, however any comments will be verbally reported at the Individual Decision meeting.

Councillors Steve Ardagh-Walter, Pamela Bale, Anthony Chadley, Roger Croft, Richard Crumly, Rob Denton-Powell, Nick Goodes, Marigold Jaques, Rick Jones, Alan Law, Tony Linden, Tim Metcalfe, Emma Webster –

to date no response has been received, however any comments will be verbally reported at the Individual Decision meeting.

**Opposition  
Spokesperson:**

Councillor Billy Drummond - to date no response has been received, however any comments will be verbally reported at the Individual Decision meeting.

**Local Stakeholders:** N/A

**Officers Consulted:** Mark, Edwards, Mark Cole and Alex Drysdale.

**Trade Union:** N/A

**5. Other options considered**

5.1 None.

**6. Introduction/Background**

6.1 The West Berkshire Clear Streets Strategy is the basis on which the main towns and villages have been formally reviewed. Any new parking concerns that are raised at individual locations across the district are now investigated within a district-wide parking scheme rather than having to wait until a specific town or area is being reviewed.

6.2 Parking Review Amendment 24 investigated various sites within Birch Copse, Pangbourne, Purley-on-Thames, Streatley, Thatcham Central, Thatcham South and Thatcham West Wards where parking has been expressed as a safety or obstruction concern. Following investigation into the parking issues the Ward Members and Parish/Town Councils affected were consulted for any further comments to the parking proposals. This consultation resulted in some minor changes to the proposals which were then progressed to statutory consultation as detailed in the 30 plans listed under Background Papers.

6.3 The statutory consultation and advertisement of the agreed proposals was undertaken between 7 and 28 April 2016.

**7. Supporting Information**

7.1 At the end of the statutory consultation period 104 responses had been received, which consisted of:

- (1) 39 responses in support of the proposals for Hazel Road, Purley and 11 responses raising objections.

- (2) 11 responses objecting to the proposals for Meadowside Road, Pangbourne and 2 responses in support.
- (3) 7 responses in support of the proposals for St James Close, Pangbourne and 2 responses raising objections.
- (4) 7 responses in support of the proposals for Falmouth Way, Thatcham.
- (5) 6 responses objecting to the proposals for Bourne Road, Pangbourne.
- (6) 4 responses objecting to the proposals for Rosemead Avenue, Purley Ward and 1 response in support.
- (7) 2 responses objecting to the proposals for Sage Road, Purley Ward, with 1 response in support and 1 response which commented on the restrictions already in place.
- (8) 2 responses objecting to the proposals for Addiscombe Chase, Purley Ward.
- (9) 2 responses objecting to the proposals for Horseshoe Road, Pangbourne.
- (10) 1 response objecting to the proposals for Gables Way, Thatcham.
- (11) 3 responses providing comments to lengths of road which were not included within this parking scheme.
- (12) 1 response objecting to the proposals for Turners Drive, Thatcham which was subsequently withdrawn.
- (13) Response from Pangbourne Parish Council providing comments to the proposals within Pangbourne.

7.2 Responses to the consultation, together with officer comments are detailed in Appendix A.

7.3 No comments or objections were received in respect of the proposals for Birch Copse, Streatley, Thatcham Central or Thatcham West Wards.

## 8. Options for Consideration

8.1 Requests for additional restrictions cannot be made without going through the full statutory consultation process again, but requests resulting in a relaxation to a proposed restriction can be accommodated by amendments to the Traffic Regulation Order prior to its Sealing.

8.2 Having carefully considered the responses to the consultation the following adjustments would address the comments received and they could be introduced without significantly compromising road safety and without the need for the re-advertisement of the TRO:

- (1) **Pangbourne - Bourne Road** (Plan BT37) – The proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time be omitted from the final scheme.

- (2) **Pangbourne – Meadowside Road** (Plan BT38) – The proposal to remove the Limited Waiting bay on the north side be omitted from the final scheme.
- (3) **Purley on Thames - Addiscombe Chase** (Plan BX41) – The proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time restrictions and No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm be omitted from the final scheme.
- (4) **Purley on Thames – Hazel Road** (Plan BY38 and BY39) – The proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the east side between New Hill and Huckleberry Close be amended to a No Waiting Monday-Friday 8am-6pm restriction.
- (5) **Purley on Thames - Rosemead Avenue** (Plan BX42) – The proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time restrictions and No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm be omitted from the final scheme.
- (6) **Purley on Thames – Sage Road and Myrtle Close** (Plan BY40 and BY41) – Amend the proposals as follows:
  - (a) Shorten the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the east side of Sage Road at the junction with Knowsley Road from 20 metres to 12 metres.
  - (b) Adjust the start point of the proposed No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm restriction on the east side of Sage Road from 20 metres to 12 metres from the junction with Knowsley Road.
  - (c) Amend the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the north side of Sage Road to No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm.
  - (d) Amend the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction at the junction of Myrtle Place with Sage Road to No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm.
- (7) **Thatcham South - Gables Way** (Plan AZ76 & BA76) – Amend the proposal as follows:
  - (a) Shorten the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the north side from 30 metres to 20 metres from the eastern junction with Colthrop Lane.
  - (b) Amend the proposed start point for the No Waiting Monday-Saturday 8am-6pm restriction on the north side from 130 metres to 155 metres from the eastern junction with Colthrop Lane.
  - (c) Introduce an unrestricted length on the north side between 185 metres and 210 metres from the eastern junction with Colthrop Lane.
  - (d) The above measures will provide three unrestricted areas which will increase potential parking spaces from the proposed 10 car lengths to

approximately 21 car lengths to address objections raised by a local business whilst still addressing road safety concerns for HGV traffic.

## 9. Proposals

- 9.1 That the revisions to the proposed parking scheme as detailed in Section 8 of this report be approved.
- 9.2 That the remaining proposed restrictions be introduced as advertised.
- 9.3 That the respondents to the statutory consultation be informed accordingly.
- 9.4 That the parking scheme be monitored so that any parking displacement can be addressed as part of a future review.

## 10. Conclusion

- 10.1 Due to the nature of parking schemes it can sometimes be difficult to accurately anticipate the consequences of change, such as where any displaced parking may occur. Therefore the parking restrictions will need to be monitored to determine their effectiveness and should any further amendments be required these can be introduced as part of the review process, subject to the standard consultation procedure.

---

### Background Papers:

Plan Nos: AS73, AT72, AT73, AU73, AV74, AV75, AW74, AW75, AX74, AX76, AX77, AZ75, AZ76, BA75, BA76, BK19, BS36, BT37, BT38, BW51, BX41, BX42, BX48, BY38, BY39, BY40, BY41, BY42, BY48 and BY49.

Responses received during statutory consultation.

---

### Subject to Call-In:

Yes: X      No:

- The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval
- Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council
- Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position
- Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months
- Item is Urgent Key Decision
- Report is to note only

---

### Wards affected:

Birch Copse, Pangbourne, Purley-on-Thames, Streatley, Thatcham Central, Thatcham South and Thatcham West.

---

### Strategic Aims and Priorities Supported:

The proposals will help achieve the following Council Strategy aim:

**X HQL – Maintain a high quality of life within our communities**

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the following Council Strategy priority:

---

**X SLE2 – Deliver or enable key infrastructure improvements in relation to roads, rail, flood prevention, regeneration and the digital economy**

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Strategy aim and priority by addressing local road safety concerns associated with parking.

---

**Officer details:**

Name: Glyn Davis  
Job Title: Principal Engineer  
Tel No: 01635 519501  
E-mail Address: [glyn.davis@westberks.gov.uk](mailto:glyn.davis@westberks.gov.uk)

---

## 11. Executive Summary

- 11.1 Parking Review Amendment 24 investigated various sites within Birch Copse, Pangbourne, Purley-on-Thames, Streatley, Thatcham Central, Thatcham South and Thatcham West Wards where parking has been expressed as a safety or obstruction concern.
- 11.2 The proposals were progressed to statutory consultation and advertisement as detailed in the 30 plans listed under Background Papers between 7 and 28 April 2016.
- 11.3 At the end of the statutory consultation period 104 responses had been received. Responses to the consultation, together with officer comments are detailed in Appendix A.

## 12. Conclusion

- 12.1 Having considered the responses to the consultation the following adjustments would address the comments received and they could be introduced without significantly compromising road safety and without the need for the re-advertisement of the TRO:
- (1) **Pangbourne - Bourne Road** (Plan BT37) – The proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time be omitted from the final scheme.
  - (2) **Pangbourne – Meadowside Road** (Plan BT38) – The proposal to remove the Limited Waiting bay on the north side be omitted from the final scheme.
  - (3) **Purley on Thames - Addiscome Chase** (Plan BX41) – The proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time restrictions and No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm be omitted from the final scheme.
  - (4) **Purley on Thames – Hazel Road** (Plan BY38 and BY39) – The proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the east side between New Hill and Huckleberry Close be amended to a No Waiting Monday-Friday 8am-6pm restriction.
  - (5) **Purley on Thames - Rosemead Avenue** (Plan BX42) – The proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time restrictions and No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm be omitted from the final scheme.
  - (6) **Purley on Thames – Sage Road and Myrtle Close** (Plan BY40 and BY41) – Amend the proposals as follows:
    - (a) Shorten the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the east side of Sage Road at the junction with Knowsley Road from 20 metres to 12 metres.
    - (b) Adjust the start point of the proposed No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm restriction on the east side of Sage Road from 20 metres to 12 metres from the junction with Knowsley Road.

- (c) Amend the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the north side of Sage Road to No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm.
  - (d) Amend the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction at the junction of Myrtle Place with Sage Road to No Waiting Monday-Friday 8-9.30am and 2.30-4pm.
- (7) **Thatcham South - Gables Way** (Plan AZ76 & BA76) – Amend the proposal as follows:
- (a) Shorten the proposed No Waiting At Any Time restriction on the north side from 30 metres to 20 metres from the eastern junction with Colthrop Lane.
  - (b) Amend the proposed start point for the No Waiting Monday-Saturday 8am-6pm restriction on the north side from 130 metres to 155 metres from the eastern junction with Colthrop Lane.
  - (c) Introduce an unrestricted length on the north side between 185 metres and 210 metres from the eastern junction with Colthrop Lane.
  - (d) The above measures will provide three unrestricted areas which will increase potential parking spaces from the proposed 10 car lengths to approximately 21 car lengths to address objections raised by a local business whilst still addressing road safety concerns for HGV traffic.

12.2 The remaining proposed restrictions should be introduced as advertised.

12.3 The parking scheme should be monitored so that any parking displacement can be addressed as part of a future review.

### 13. Appendices

13.1 Appendix A - Supporting Information.

13.2 Appendix B – Equalities Impact Assessment.